UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,576	03/12/2004	Chien-Hua Hsu	MTKP0045USA	2575
27765 7590 10/03/2008 NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 506			LEE, GINA W	
MERRIFIELD, VA 22116		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/03/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/708,576	HSU, CHIEN-HUA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	GINA W. LEE	2626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Ju</u>	ne 2008.					
<i>i</i>	-					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
	oloston roquitoment.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/708,576 Page 2

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. In response to the office action from 4/1/2008, Applicant has submitted an amendment, filed 6/17/2008, amending claim 2, and arguing to traverse art rejections based on the art of Smyth and Hilpert. The Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, but they are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection and do not place the claims in condition for allowance.
- 2. The previous objection to claim 2 has been withdrawn, in view of the amendment.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 6/17/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. In claim 1, the language of several limitations is unclear. For example,

Art Unit: 2626

- In line 7 of the claim (page 2, line 10), it is not specified if the limitation "performing a selection process to provide a window" refers to a time window or frequency window.

 The "window" is referred to throughout the claim.
- In lines 9-10 of the claim (page 2, lines 12-13), the limitation "selecting subband samples from the plurality of subband samples as reference sample data" is not clear as to whether the recited subband samples are from one subband at different times or from a plurality of subbands either at the same time or at different times.
- In line 14 of the claim (page 2, line 17), regarding the limitation "performing a transform process," it is not clear as to what type of transform is meant, e.g. a spectral transform.
- In line 17 (page 2, line 20) it is not clear whether the limitation of "predetermined algorithm" operates in the time or the frequency domain or both.

Claims 9, 15, and 19 also include similar limitations, and claims 2-8, 10-14, 16-18, and 20-22 do not clarify or correct the deficiencies of their respective independent claims.

Conclusion

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GINA W. LEE whose telephone number is (571)270-3139. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 8:00 AM 5:00 PM EST.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Edouard can be reached on (571) 272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,576 Page 4

Art Unit: 2626

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patrick Edouard Examiner Art Unit 2626

GWL

/Patrick N. Edouard/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626